



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/069,645	05/14/2002	Martin Sommer	1978	3582	
7	7590 09/23/2003				
Striker Striker & Stenby 103 East Neck Road Huntington, NY 11743			EXAMINER		
			WEAVER, SUE A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3727	Ø	
			DATE MAILED: 09/23/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/069,64	15	SOMMER ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Sue A. We	eaver	3727			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE MAIL - Extensions after SIX (6 - If the perioder of the pe	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of the community of the provision of the community of t	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evolution. tays, a reply within the state ory period will apply and wil, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tim utory minimum of thirty (30) days ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to lication to become ABANDONED	ely filed swill be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠ R€	sponsive to communication(s) filed	l on <u>14 May 2002</u> .					
2a) Th	is action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is	non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of	•		,,				
4)⊠ Cla	im(s) $1-19$ is/are pending in the ap	plication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Cla	im(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.						
7) <u></u> Cla	im(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Cla	im(s) are subject to restrictic Papers	on and/or election r	equirement.				
	specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 May 2002</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Ar	pplicant may not request that any objec	tion to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The	proposed drawing correction filed of	on is: a)□ a	pproved b)□ disappro	ved by the Examiner.			
lf a	approved, corrected drawings are requi	ired in reply to this Of	ffice action.				
12) The	oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 149(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)⊡ Some * c)⊡ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2.[2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internat	ional Bureau (PCT	Rule 17.2(a)).				
	owledgment is made of a claim for		·				
a) 🗌	The translation of the foreign lange	uage provisional ar	oplication has been rec	eived.			
<i>,</i> —	lowledgment is made of a claim for	domestic priority u	iliuei 33 U.S.C. 99 120	anu/of 121.			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3. Solution of Traftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:							

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/069,645

Art Unit: 3727

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the materials claimed in claim 1 must be shown by proper cross-hatching or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). Moreover the fiber reinforcing claimed in claim 11 and the method steps claimed in claims 15 and17-19, must be shown. No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)
- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.

Jule

Art Unit: 3727

- (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The specification doesn't appear to have any brief description of the drawing figures and also appears to lack the appropriate section headings.

Appropriate correction is required.

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 1 and thus 2-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Applicants' use the expression "reactively-vulcanizing plastic" to claim a coating material in claim 1 is considered to render the claims vague and indefinite. This does not appear to be a term of art and therefore it isn't clear just what materials applicants consider reactively-vulcanizing plastic to encompass. How are applicants using the term "vulcanizing" with respect to plastic? Vulcanizing is usually associated with rubber products. Would this

No. No.

Art Unit: 3727

include TPEs? Applicants have failed to establish the metes and bounds of the claims with regard to the use of the expression "reactively-vulcanizing plastic"...

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

> The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 12 and 15-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The expression in claims 12, "wherein the plastic coating comprises different reactivelyvulcanizing plastics... or it is applied in such a fashion..." appears to be directed to two different constructions and is therefore considered alternative as it isn't clear which embodiment applicants are claiming...

Applicants are advised that it isn't clear what applicants are claiming in claims 15-19 as there isn't any statutory class of "process by product claim" Claims 1 and 2-14 are directed to a product. Claim 15 and 16-19 however are directed to a process which doesn't appear to limit the structure of the product. It also makes it unclear whether applicants are claiming a product or process when depending claim 15 and thus 16-19 from claim 2.

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

the lip on the container of Wallace...

Art Unit: 3727

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 6, insofar as they are understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Wallace.

Wallace teaches a coated glass container10 which is provided with a polyurethane coating 28 formed by charging the reactive polyurethane into a mold to cure. Applicants are advised that the expression "is applied in the process of..." is considered to be no more than a process limitation in a product claims which is not considered to distinguish the structure claimed over that taught by Wallace.

6. Claims 2, 9, 10, 12, 13 and 14, insofar as they are understood are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wallace in view of Sturm and Bridges.
To have coated a pressure container with the coating thickness varying, would have been obvious n view of Sturm as shown in Figure 1. Note also the variation in density as shown in Figure 2 of Sturm. To have extend the coating to the top of the container to provide a mounting for a closure would have been obvious in view of such teaching by Bridges. Note

The size of the container and thickness of the coating is considered to be a matter of choice dependent on the intended use for the container. Coating thickness is considered also to be dependent of the thickness of the container.

Art Unit: 3727

7. Claims 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claim 2 above, and further in view of Bleile et al (DE '664), cited by applicants.

To have provided the coating with vent openings adjacent the base of the container in the manner taught by Beile et al and used a 125 ml vial for the container would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art.

8. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claim 2 above, and further in view of Mannl.

The thickness of the glass container is considered to depend in part on the coating thickness, the size of the container and the type of glass used. However to have made the coated glass container of a thickness of 0.7-1mm would have been obvious in view of the teaching by Mannl.

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Knipp et al, Gaudreau and Tachi et al teach the use of an RIM method to mold polyurethane products. Barnby et al and Glover et al show coated aerosol bottles. Tatsumi et al, Weizczorrek et al, Moon-Starr et al, Wenzel et al and Otsuki et al show bottles coated with polyurethane.
- 10. The following are suggested formats for either a Certificate of Mailing or Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). The certification may be included with all correspondence concerning this application or proceeding to establish a date of mailing or transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). Proper use of this procedure will result in such communication being considered as timely if the established date is within the required period for reply. The Certificate should be signed by the individual actually depositing or transmitting the correspondence or by an individual who, upon information and belief, expects the correspondence to be mailed or transmitted in the normal course of business by another no later than the date indicated.

Art Unit: 3727

Certificate of Mailing

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:
Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
on (Date)
Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:
Signature:
Certificate of Transmission
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Fax No. (703) on (Date)
Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:
Signature:
Please refer to 37 CFR 1.6(d) and 1.8(a)(2) for filing limitations concerning facsimile transmissions and mailing, respectively.
11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the
examiner should be directed to Sue A. Weaver whose telephone number is (703) 308-
1186. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.
_ The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding
is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 3727

Page 8

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

1148.

Sue A. Weaver
Primary Examiner

SW